

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,367	02/21/2002	Andrew Li	2002P000329US	2638
7590 04/02/2004			EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department			IMAM, ALI M	
186 Wood Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 08	Iselin, NJ 08830			
			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/080,367	LI ET AL.	•
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ali Imam	3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal lee), or (Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	s) a timery med Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH 706.07(f).	ing date of the final rejection. IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 3 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding am 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under hally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed witl 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	hin the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has be application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed straised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☐ will not be enter explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven.	ered or b) will be entered and an ided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 24.	
Claim(s) objected to: 2,3,5-7 and 11.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,8-10,12-23 and 25</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disappr	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	r No(s)
10. Other:	
	ale Oni-
	Ali Imam Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3737

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Mucci's ultrasound system and method is directed to an automatic determination of transmit power level. Particularly, the system and method takes into account the relevant noise, signal parameters, and the range of signal values/dynamic range in order to adjust and determine the transmit power level automatically (col. 3, lines 50-56). Therefore, the lowest value of the dynamic range would inherently be taken into account since Mucci teaches in col. 8, lines 17-67 that it's system and method eliminates the need for the operator to select a gray-scale function in conjunction with other system controls such as transmit power level and receive gain. Furthermore, Mucci's system and method does this operation automatically so as to fit the received data to the dynamic range of the display as well as to provide an amount of non-linearity to achieve noise rejection and signal contrast appropriate to diagnostic Purposes.